	I3kWissA	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V •	S2 17 Cr. 74 (CM)
5	IBRAHIM ISSA,	
6	a/k/a "Tony Issa,"	
7		Arraignment
8	Defendant.	THE EALST METE
9	x	
10		New York, N.Y. March 20, 2018
11		11:00 a.m.
12	Before:	
13	HON. COLLEEN MCMAHON,	
14		District Judge
15		-
16	APPEARANCES	
17	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN Interim United States Attorney for	
18	the Southern District of New York NOAH D. SOLOWIEJCZYK	
19	ELIZABETH A. HANFT KYLE A. WIRSHBA	
20	Assistant United States Attorneys	
21	BENJAMIN BRAFMAN Attorney for Defendant	
22		
23		
24		
25		
I		

(Case called)

MR. SOLOWIECZYJK: Good morning, your Honor. Noah Solowiejczyk, Kyle Wirshba and Elizabeth Hanft, on behalf of the government.

MR. BRAFMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Benjamin Brafman, on behalf of Mr. Issa, who is present in court.

THE COURT: Good morning. I understand we have a superseder.

MR. BRAFMAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. O'Neill, would you please arraign the defendant on the superseding indictment.

THE CLERK: Mr. Issa, the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York has filed a superseding indictment, S2 17 Cr. 74. It charges you in eight counts.

Count One charges you with bribing a public official, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 201(b)(1)(A) and (B), and 2.

Count Two charges you with theft of government funds, in violation of 18 United States Code, Section 641.

Count Three charges you with conspiracy, in violation of 18 United States Code, Section 371.

Count Four charges you with tax evasion, in violation of 26 United States Code, Section 7201.

Count Five charges you with aiding and assisting the submission of false tax returns, in violation of 26 United

24

25

States Code, section 7206(1). 1 And Counts Six through Eight charge you with 2 3 submission of false tax returns, violations of 26 United States 4 Code, section 7206(2). 5 Have you received a copy of this indictment? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 7 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Brafman, do you waive further formal 10 reading of this indictment? 11 MR. BRAFMAN: Yes, sir. THE COURT: How do you plead to those charges at this 12 13 time? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty. 15 THE COURT: Thank you. Have a seat. What have we added here, government? 16 17 MR. SOLOWIECZYJK: Your Honor, the defendant was 18 previously charged with bribing a public official and theft of 19 government funds. We've added a number of tax counts. 20 relate to the defendant's underreporting of personal income as 21 well as not declaring certain corporate funds that he used for 22 his own personal benefit. There is also a conspiracy to evade 23 taxes charge.

MD GOLOGITHORY III ' 1 .

THE COURT:

MR. SOLOWIECZYJK: Which tax years, your Honor?

How many years?

25

1 THE COURT: Yes. Which tax years? MR. SOLOWIECZYJK: 2012 through 2014, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: OK. We have a trial in a month. 3 4 Mr. Brafman jumps to his feet. 5 Good morning, Mr. Brafman. 6 MR. BRAFMAN: Good morning, your Honor. 7 I think the last time we were here, the government suggested they might supersede, and I think in colloquy with 8 9 the Court your Honor indicated that if there was a superseder, 10 depending on what was added, you might revisit the trial date. 11 Most respectfully, given what they've done, they've 12 changed the entire tenor of this case. We were fighting a 13 bribery case, and now we're going to be fighting a substantial 14 tax evasion case. 15 THE COURT: Looks that way to me. 16 MR. BRAFMAN: Yes, your Honor. 17 And I have never engaged the services of a forensic accountant to assist me, hoping that I would not need one. 18 We have talked among ourselves and with the courtroom 19 20 deputy, and we're hoping that the Court would consider a 21 substantial adjournment of the trial date at the defendant's 22 request, but recognizing that although we have heard about the 23 superseding indictment for months, we only got it last week. 24 THE COURT: OK. Mr. O'Neill told me you were

interested in the fall.

MR. BRAFMAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And of course, Mr. Brafman, I understand for you it presents the same problem as the government and one of my defense attorneys in the Carton case, which is the whole six-week, five-week Jewish holiday period is out of the question. Right?

MR. BRAFMAN: That's correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Here's the deal. I wish I had actually thought about the Jewish holidays. I would have put myself in part 1 during the Jewish holidays; it would have been no problem. But I didn't, and I'm in part 1 as soon as they're over, literally. Simcah Torah is at the end. We're starting to read the Bible all over again, and I'm in part 1. And then I gave the last guys who were in here last week right after I get out of part 1.

How would you feel, government and Mr. Brafman, since you all seem to want to put this off, about the 26th of November?

MR. BRAFMAN: I would feel good.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SOLOWIECZYJK: No objection from the government, your Honor.

THE COURT: In that case, at the defendant's request and with his consent, we will change the trial date to November 26, the defendant having waived his speedy trial rights by making the request. And I would like to see you sometime this

summer, I guess, would be a good time to check in.

MR. BRAFMAN: OK. Your Honor, I'm open for a status conference during either the month of July or August, mid-July and mid-August. I don't know what the Court's schedule is like, but obviously given your consideration to my schedule, I'll accommodate your Honor.

THE COURT: I would appreciate if we could do it in July. I'll be on trial. I can do it, therefore, at the end of the day.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: The 24th of July, 4:00.

MR. BRAFMAN: I'm sorry. 4:00?

THE COURT: Make it 4:30.

OK. Time is excluded until the new trial date of the 26th of November, the trial having been adjourned at the defendant's request, the defendant's speedy trial rights being substantially overcome by the need to prepare to defend against an entirely new case, or a substantially new case, anyway. I think we were at a three-count indictment and now we're at an eight-count indictment, or something like that. Let's do that.

At the next conference, I'll set a schedule for in limine motions and all of the usual pretrial things, and that will give us a reason to get together. OK?

MR. SOLOWIECZYJK: Yes, your Honor.

MR. BRAFMAN: Your Honor, the government has provided us significant discovery today and also several days ago, and

we haven't had a chance yet to review it all. Can we be in 1 2 contact with the government to see if there are going to be any 3 motions and schedule something and then advise the Court? THE COURT: That would be a great idea. Rather than 4 5 have me impose it from the outside, why don't you be in touch. 6 It's just if you're going to make any substantive motions 7 addressed to the new counts, I'd appreciate having them by, 8 say, July 15. 9 MR. BRAFMAN: That's fine, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Earlier is better, but no later than that. 11 MR. BRAFMAN: That's fine. Thank you very much. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 MR. SOLOWIECZYJK: Thank you, your Honor. 14 (Adjourned) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25